





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States-Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.usrife.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE ·	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,907	02/12/2002	Benjamin G. Davis	23623-7078	2782
75	590 03/12/2003			
H. Thomas Anderton, Esq.			EXAMINER	
Patent Counsel Genencor International, Inc.			SLOBODYANSKY, ELIZABETH	
925 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1013			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1652 DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	
				\wp

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/075,907	DAVIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Elizabeth Slobodyansky	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 F</u>	ebruary 2002 .					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-34,36-68 and 70</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 18-34,36-68,70 are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers OVE The energification is chicated to by the Everniner						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/075,907 Page 2

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

The instant application is a divisional of application 09/467,536 now US Patent 6,379,942.

The preliminary amendment filed concurrently with the application on February 12, 2002 amending the specification to update the cross-reference to related applications and canceling claims 1-17, 35 and 69 has been entered. Claims 71-84 could not be found in the application and therefore, have not been canceled as required by the amendment.

Claims 18-34, 36-68 and 70 are pending.

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claim 18-34, drawn to a method of producing a modified enzyme,
 classified in class 435, subclass 440.
- II. Claims 36-45, drawn to a method of assaying for a preferred enzyme, classified in class 435, subclass 18.
- III. Claims 46-55, drawn to a method of assaying for a preferred detergent, classified in class 510, subclass 392.
- IV. Claims 56-68, drawn to a method of determining the catalytic efficiency of an enzyme, classified in class 435, subclass 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,907

Page 3

Art Unit: 1652

V. Claim 70, drawn to a kit comprising a methane sulfonate reagent,classified in class 514, subclass 706.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: methods of inventions I-IV are patentably distinct as employing different products, comprising different steps and having different effects.

Inventions V and I are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of invention V can be used in materially different processes of chemical modifications of various chemical compounds that are not proteins/enzymes.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their divergent subject matter, fall into different statutory classes of invention, and are separately classified and searched, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1652

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).

This application contains claims directed to the patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, in Group I, generic claims are claims 18-20, 23-25 and 29-34, the species claims are claims 21-22, 26 - subtilisin, claim 27 trypsin/chymotrypsin, claim 28 - lipase. In Group II, all claims are generic (claims 36-45), the species are a protease, a cellulase, an amylase, a laccase, a lipase. In Group III, all claims are generic (claims 46-55), the species are a protease, a cellulase, an amylase, a laccase, a lipase. In Group IV, all claims are generic (claims 56-68), the species are a protease, a cellulase, an amylase, a laccase, a lipase.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,907

Art Unit: 1652

nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered

Page 5

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Slobodyansky whose telephone number is (703) 306-3222. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703) 308-3804. The FAX phone number for Technology Center 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD
Primary Examiner

March 10, 2003